

**2021**

**MATHEMATICS — GENERAL**

**Paper : SEC-B-1**

**(Mathematical Logic)**

**Full Marks : 80**

*The figures in the margin indicate full marks.*

*Candidates are required to give their answers in their own words  
as far as practicable.*

[Notations have their usual meanings.]

1. Choose the correct option and justify your answer : (1+1)×10
- (a) The number of different non-equivalent statement formulas containing  $n$  statement letters is
- |                 |               |
|-----------------|---------------|
| (i) $2^{n^2}$   | (ii) $2^n$    |
| (iii) $2^{2^n}$ | (iv) $2^{2n}$ |
- (b) A tautology statement formula is
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| (i) $p \wedge \sim p$                              | (ii) $(p \vee q) \wedge \sim (p \wedge q)$ |
| (iii) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (p \wedge q))$ | (iv) $\sim(\sim p \wedge q)$               |
- (c) If  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is logically equivalent to a statement formula  $A$ , then  $A$  may be
- |  |   |
|--|---|
| (i) $p \rightarrow q$                            | (ii) $q \rightarrow p$                            |
| (iii) $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$ | (iv) $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ |
- (d) An adequate system of connectives is
- |                               |                                  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| (i) $\{\vee, \rightarrow\}$   | (ii) $\{\wedge, \rightarrow\}$   |
| (iii) $\{\sim, \rightarrow\}$ | (iv) $\{\sim, \leftrightarrow\}$ |
- (e) Negation of  $\exists x (P \rightarrow Q)$  is equivalent to
- |   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| (i) $\exists x (\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ | (ii) $\forall x (P \wedge \sim Q)$ |
| (iii) $\exists x (P \rightarrow \neg Q)$    | (iv) $\forall x (\neg P \wedge Q)$ |
- (f) If  $\Gamma$  is a set of well formed formulas (wffs) and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are well formed formulas, and  $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$  then
- |  |   |
|--|---|
| (i) $\Gamma \vdash \alpha$                       | (ii) $\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ |
| (iii) $\Gamma \vdash (\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$ | (iv) $\Gamma \vdash \beta$                      |

**Please Turn Over**



- (c) A committee consisting of three members approves any proposal by majority vote. Each member can approve a proposal by pressing a button attached to their seats. Design a circuit as simple as you can which allow current to pass when and only when a proposal is approved. 5
- (d) Determine whether the formula  $(A \vee ((B \wedge C))) \rightarrow ((A \leftrightarrow C) \vee B)$  is a tautology. 5
- (e) Define the terms 'premises', 'consequence', 'proof' in propositional calculus. 1+2+2
- (f) Let  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  be distinct prime formulas. Find the simplest formula that is equivalent to every formula whose prime constituents are  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  and whose corresponding truth value operation is  $f$ . 5

$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$f(P_1, P_2, P_3)$
T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F
T	F	T	T
F	F	T	F
T	T	F	T
F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T
F	F	F	T

- (g) State Deduction Theorem in Propositional Calculus.  
Show that  $\{B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D\} \vdash B \rightarrow D$  where  $B, C, D$  are well formed formulas in Propositional Calculus. 2+3
- (h) Show that the well formed formula  $B \rightarrow (C \rightarrow (B \wedge C))$  is a theorem in Propositional Calculus, where  $B, C$  are well formed formulas in Propositional Calculus. 5
- (i) Show that each statement form in the column I is logically equivalent to the form in the column II.
- | I                            |  | II   |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| (i) $A \leftrightarrow B$    |  | $(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ |
| (ii) $A \wedge (B \oplus C)$ |  | $(A \wedge B) \oplus (A \wedge C)$         |
- where  $\oplus$  stands for 'Exclusive OR'. 2+3
- (j) Prove that  $\vdash (\sim B \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))$ , where  $B, C$  are well formed formulas in Propositional Calculus. 5

### Unit - III

4. Answer **any four** questions :

- (a) Define atomic formula and well formed formula in Predicate Calculus. Symbolize the given sentence in a well formed formula : "Anyone who is persistent can learn Logic". 1+2+2
- (b) Describe about a formal theory for Predicate Calculus. 5

**Please Turn Over**

- (c) Define free and bound variable in a well formed formula. Find the free and bound variable(s) in the well formed formula :

$$(\forall x_1)(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (\forall x_1)A_1^1(x_1)). \quad 3+2$$

- (d) Test the logical validity of the given arguments : All integers are rational numbers. All rational numbers are real numbers. 2 is an integer. Therefore, 2 is a real number. 5

- (e) Indicate the free and bound occurrences of each variable in the following :

(i)  $((\forall x_1)(x_1 > 0)) \wedge (\exists x_2)(x_2 = x_1)$

(ii)  $\exists x_1 \forall y_1 (z = y_1 \vee y_1 = x_1).$  3+2

- (f) Prove that  $\vdash (\forall x)(B \leftrightarrow C) \rightarrow ((\forall x)B \leftrightarrow (\forall x)C)$  where  $B, C$  are well formed formulas in Predicate Calculus. 5

- (g) Reduce to prenex normal form : 5

$$\forall x \forall y (x < y \rightarrow \exists z ((x < z) \wedge (z < y))).$$

---